

ARTICLE APPEARED
ON PAGE A3THE WASHINGTON POST
17 January 1981

After Patt Derian Leaves State, Whither Human Rights Policy?

STAT

By John M. Goshko
Washington Post Staff Writer

Patt Derian plans to stay home on Inauguration Day next Tuesday. That's in contrast to four years ago, when even the arrival of a furniture-laden moving van 20 minutes before Jimmy Carter was due to be sworn in couldn't keep her away.

Inevitably, there's a temptation to take that as a metaphor for how quickly Ronald Reagan is expected to downgrade many of the Carter administration's key policy priorities. Among the first candidates for this whittling away is the effort to make human rights a consideration in the conduct of foreign policy.

For four years, Derian — a Mississippi housewife who never had held a paying job — personified that policy. As Carter's assistant secretary of state for human rights, the bluntly outspoken Derian waged an unceasing battle to force governmental leaders abroad and entrenched bureaucrats at home to revise their traditional view that moral judgments have no place among the power politics of diplomacy.

Now, buoyed by repeated statements from the Reagan camp that human rights factors no longer will be a major determinant of U.S. foreign relations, Derian's myriad opponents are counting the days until the influence she wielded is eliminated. Derian, though, isn't so sure that her work can be relegated to the attic quite so easily.


"What Reagan and his people have been saying about human rights is not based on reality — on knowledge of the situation, the law and the methods and machinery that the law imposes on this government," she says. "My hope is that once they've pondered the law and gotten a good fix on the situation, they'll drop all this strange talk, face the fact that human rights have become a fact of life in foreign policy and start thinking about what has to be done to make the policy better and more consistent."

The reality, Derian contends, is that Carter's championing of human rights "saved an awful lot of people's lives" and, as a result, raised expectations among the people of the communist bloc and the Third World.

"It reached the point where practically every important national leader felt compelled to stand up — hand placed piously on heart — and swear his loyalty to the human rights factor in international relations," she says. "Once that happens, people expect tangible evidence that this fervent regard for principles is being translated into practical results, and if the evidence isn't forthcoming people will chafe, with increasingly dangerous consequences, at repression."

"What we did was so unprecedented because it touched lives, governments, organizations and institutions," she says, adding: "My hardest task was to make that clear to a bureaucracy that for 100 years had been weaned on the idea that American political, commercial or security interests were the only things that counted in diplomacy. I tried to make them see that human rights was something that wouldn't tarnish their polished and exquisite view of classical diplomacy, but that actually could serve as a powerful new weapon for American interests..."

Although her husband, former State Department spokesman Hodding Carter, recent-



THE FEDERAL ENGINE

ly wrote a much-remarked account of the infighting among leading Carter administration policymakers, Derian says she will not "publicly bash" those who opposed her ideas. But their identities are implicit in her comment that "certain organs of the government — in particular the bureaus of the State Department that deal with different global regions, the Pentagon, the CIA, the staff of the National Security Council — all have a tendency to view policy from their own narrow perspectives and an excess of clientitis."

"It was pathetic," she recalls, "the way